

The tables should use the standard template which the Programme Officer will provide, and should be sent to him by . If the Council considers that other Main or Additional modifications are necessary following their consideration of my initial questions, they should be added to the tables.

I would then like the tables of proposed modifications to be maintained as "live" reference documents, and regularly updated as the examination proceeds.

This advice does not imply that I endorse any of the proposed modifications at this stage.

Please let me know as soon as possible, via the Programme Officer, if you have any queries on the contents of this letter and the enclosed paper.

Finally, I would like to say how attractive I find the design and layout of

1. At paragraph 1.12 it is said that, once adopted, the BDP will replace the saved policies of the Birmingham UDP 2005, apart from those policies in UDP Chapter 8. However, there is no table identifying the correspondence between the two plans, i.e. listing each UDP policy and stating which particular BDP policy is intended to supersede it. This would seem to be a requirement of Regulation 8(5)¹ and in any case would be very helpful to me. Could one be prepared please?

2. From the Introduction to the BDP and the Council's
I understand that the only other development plan documents [DPDs] the Council intend to prepare are the
and the [AAP]. There is to be no
further DPD (apart from the) identifying specific sites
for development. Is this correct?

3. A number of the policies in the plan appear wholly or mainly to set out general aspirations or objectives rather than to
². Examples
are PG2, TP1, TP5, TP13, TP25, TP34, TP36-TP40. Why do these need to be policies rather than part of the plan's explanatory text?

¹ All Regulations referred to in this paper are from the

locations for growth, for years six to ten. The BDP appears not to meet this national policy requirement: why?

10. How will this apparent shortcoming in the soundness of the BDP

